


# Q and B biotypes– distribution, crop-relation, and their relevance to insecticide resistance



Rami Horowitz, Svetlana Kontsedalov,  
Vadim Khasdan Haggai Breslauer  
and Isaac Ishaaya



ARO, Dept. of Entomology,  
Gilat Research Center

The Volcani Center, Bet Dagan, Israel

**biotype B**

**biotype Q**




**Two biotypes of *B. tabaci* have  
been identified in Israel:**

**B - (early 1990's)**

**Q - (2000)**


# RAPD-PCR products of various *Bemisia tabaci* strains from Israel



Lane 1- DNA ladder; 5- a sample without DNA

Lanes 2, 4, 7- samples from Sde-Eliyahu, w-Negev & standard B

Lanes 3, 6 – samples from the Carmel Coast & standard Q



**Biotypes of *B. tabaci* in Israel, 2002-5**

# Crossing studies, Q/B (field strains)

Parents

Offspring

<b>Females</b> 20	<b>Males</b> 40	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Sex ratio</b> Female: Male
Negev (B)	Negev (B)	360	206	1.0:0.7
Negev (B)	Arava (Q)	0	245	0.0:1.0
Arava (Q)	Arava (Q)	458	316	1.0:0.7
Arava (Q)	Negev (B)	6?	503	0.01:1.0



In the Arava Valley (Israel); biotype survey was conducted during 2004–5

ISRAEL

CENTRAL ARAVA

Dead Sea


JORDAN



# Organic vs. conventional crops

- In the Arava Valley (Israel); biotype survey was conducted during 2004 – 2005.
- **Greenhouse organic peppers, cucumbers and melons – B.**
- **Conventional greenhouses – Mostly Q**

# Proportion of *B. tabaci* biotype Q and B sampled from sunflower and cotton fields during 2005 cotton season in the Ayalon Valley, Israel




Av-05


—○— Sunflower      —▶— Cotton



# Proportion of *B. tabaci* biotype Q and B sampled from sunflower and cotton fields during 2005 cotton season in the Carmel Coast, Israel



# **Biotype tolerance to insecticides affects their field composition**




## Various populations of *Bemisia tabaci* collected in Israel, their biotype definition and resistance to pyriproxyfen

Strain	Collection date	Location	Biotype	Resistance (RR)
<b>*S</b>	1987	Tzor'a	<b>B</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Yesha-99</b>	1999	W- Negev	<b>B</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>BD-00</b>	2000	Bet Dagan	<b>B</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Negev-00</b>	2000	W- Negev	<b>B</b>	<b>0.4</b>
<b>BS-00</b>	2000	Bet She'an	<b>B</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>*Pyri-R</b>	1991	GH, W- Negev	<b>Q</b>	<b>1,200</b>
<b>HC-00</b>	2000	Carmel Coast	<b>Q</b>	<b>637</b>
<b>AV-99</b>	1999	Ayalon Valley	<b>Q&gt;b</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>AV-00</b>	2000	Ayalon Valley	<b>Q&gt;b</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>W-Gal</b>	2000	W- Galilee	<b>Q&gt;b</b>	<b>25</b>

# Monitoring pyriproxyfen resistance, Carmel Coast 2002-3




- + S
- ▲ 2002LS
- ▽ 2003ES
- 2003LS




# Resistance to Pyriproxyfen and biotype Q

- ✓ In areas where the use of pyriproxyfen ceased, resistance levels declined to some extent, while...
- ✓ Level of susceptibility was restored completely in the lab (gen. 15-20).
- ✓ Biotype-related resistance?

# Monitoring Pyriproxyfen Resistance, Ayalon Valley, Israel






# **Lab assays**

# Susceptibility of *B. tabaci* strain (AV-02) to pyriproxyfen


## Laboratory conditions (15<sup>th</sup> generations)



**S=susceptible ; G0 =the original strain; Unt=untreated;**  
**Act=selection to Actara (thiamethoxam);**  
**Msp=selection to Mospilan (acetamiprid)**




# Mix of Q&B (1:1, with similar R) maintained for 20 generations; partly pressurized with pyriproxyfen; another part - kept untreated



# Proportion of *B. tabaci* biotypes throughout the generations

Generation	untreated	Pyri-selection
G-0	1B:1Q	1B:1Q
G-4	B	1B:1Q
G-8	B	Q>B
G-12	B	Q
G-16	B	Q
G-20	B	Q

# Interaction of *B. tabaci* Biotype



1. Both the B and Q biotypes are present in Israel
2. Field populations may consist of a mixture of biotypes
3. Reproductive incompatibility maintains their genetic isolation
4. A possible link exists between *B. tabaci* biotypes and insecticide resistance
5. Tolerance of Q-type to pyriproxyfen, neonicotinoids and other new insecticides (?)
6. Without exposure to insecticides – higher fitness to “B” (?)
7. Insecticide applications select for Q-type.

# We can surmise the following scenario



Appearance of Q biotype accompanies resistance to pyriproxyfen and/or neonicotinoids.

Treatments in accordance with IRM programs moderate selection for resistance to those insecticides and concurrently reduce the appearance of the Q-type.

Reuse of the above insecticides against *B. tabaci* may increase occurrence of the Q-type and development of resistance to one or another group of insecticides.

(Selection to insecticides in B biotype of *B. tabaci* is feasible, but it is probably slower than in the Q type).

# Unsolved questions

1. Does B-type have higher fitness than Q-type (is it more competitive)?
2. Why does “B” take over “Q” after several generations under lab conditions?
3. Reproductive barrier: attraction, mating behavior, fertility, symbiont related?



# Thanks for your attention

